arXiv:1512.05943v1 [math.AT] 18 Dec 2015

First order local invariants of planar caustics

R. Oset Sinha and M.C. Romero Fuster *

Abstract

We study first order local invariants of Vassiliev type for Lagrangian immersions with generic planar caustics. For this we produce some examples of 2-parameter families of Lagrangian maps and study their bifurcation diagrams.

Keywords: stable maps, first order Vassiliev invariants, Lagrangian maps, caustics, Bennequin invariant.
MS classification: 57R45, 58K65, 57R17, 53D12

1 Introduction

V. A. Vassiliev introduced in [14] a method to define isotopy invariants for stable maps in a fairly general context that has proved to be useful in the case of knots (considered as stable maps from the circle to 3-space, [15]). Such invariants can be seen as locally constant functions on the subspace of stable maps and the method is based on the analysis of the structure of the discriminant set (subset of non stable maps) in the total space of the considered maps. Vassiliev's techniques have also been applied by several authors in order to study other subspaces of stable maps ([3], [8, 9], [11], [13], [16], [7]). In particular, F. Aicardi and T. Ohmoto analysed in [11] the case of stable maps between surfaces. They obtained a complete set of generators for the space of first order Vassiliev invariants of these maps, that can be described in terms of some properties of their apparent contours.

We consider here Lagrangian immersions from the sphere to the cotangent bundle of the plane. This space of maps is considerably harder to deal with than the spaces of C^∞ maps due to the fact that there is very little known about its topological properties and the theory of versality for these maps has not been developed so far. The local classification of Lagrangian maps is mainly due to V. I. Arnol'd and V. M. Zakalyukin ([4, 5], [19]). Such classification of Lagrangian maps relies on the theory of versal deformations of functions, that give rise to

*Dept. Geometria i Topologia, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de València, 46100, Burjassot, València, Spain. Emails: raul.oset@uv.es, carmen.romero@uv.es. Work of both authors partially supported by DGCYT and FEDER grant no. MTM2012-33073.

the so-called generating families of Lagrangian maps whose bifurcation sets are known as caustics. It is a well known fact that stable maps between surfaces and generic caustics of Lagrangian immersions have the same types of singularities (folds along curves and isolated cusp points). Nevertheless, the singularities in 1 and 2-parameter families differ from one case to the other (see [4]). Besides this, there is a distinction between two types of cusp points in the Lagrangian case, due to the fact that the natural equivalence relation between Lagrangian maps depends on the $\mathcal{P}$-$\mathcal{R}^+$-equivalence of their generating families, whereas the general case of stable maps is studied under $\mathcal{A}$-equivalence.

Zakalyukin studied 1-parameter families of Lagrangian maps in [19], however the classification of 2-parameter families has not been studied until very recently ([12],[17, 18]). In this paper we define what a 2-parameter family of Lagrangian maps is and give some examples of them.

In §2 we include the basic definitions and results on Lagrangian maps that will be used along the paper. We also include in this section a description of the singularities of Lagrangian germs and multigerms in 1-parameter families. A brief introduction to Vassiliev's theory of invariants, followed by its application to our case of interest is given in §3. We explain how a complete classification of 2-parameter families of Lagrangian maps could be done, but we give only a few examples of generating families and study their bifurcation diagrams. This allows us to obtain a complete set of generators for Vassiliev's first order invariants, whose geometrical interpretations are discussed in §4.

We observe that the apparent contours in the Lagrangian case are their corresponding caustics, and the obtained invariants can be seen as stable isotopy invariants for 1-dimensional caustics. We prove that the space of first order local isotopy invariants of Lagrangian immersion from the sphere with generic planar caustics is generated by the following 4 independent invariants:

i) I_d, the number of double crossings.

ii) I_{C_m}, the number of (positive) cusps corresponding to minima in the generating family.

iii) I_{C_M}, the number of (negative) cusps corresponding to maxima in the generating family.

iv) I_ℓ, one half of the Bennequin invariant of the Legendrian lift of the caustic to $PT^*\mathbb{R}^2$.

It is important to notice that the space of Lagrangian immersions may not be simply connected. This is known for the case of Legendrian immersions, and it is the reason for F. Aicardi to consider non contractible paths in this space when studying the Vassiliev cocycles to obtain the first order invariants of planar wavefronts in [1]. We produce examples of a closed paths which are candidates to

being non-contractible and would induce a non-trivial first cohomology class of the space of Lagrangian immersions.

The orientation on the target plane is fixed all throughout the paper. This allows us to give the above mentioned interpretation to the invariant I_ℓ. In §5 we show that in case we fix an orientation on the domain too, each one of the cusp invariants is unfolded in two. The other invariants are not affected by this choice.

In §6 we discuss a non-local invariant.

The authors would like to thank T. Ohmoto and V. Goryunov for useful comments and invaluable discussions.

2 Lagrangian maps

We begin by recalling some definitions and basic results. A *symplectic manifold* (M, ω), is an even dimensional manifold with a closed nondegenerate 2-form (called a symplectic structure). A *Lagrangian submanifold* L of a symplectic manifold is a submanifold of maximal dimension on which the symplectic structure vanishes, i.e. given an immersion $i : L \hookrightarrow M$, $\dim L = \frac{1}{2}\dim M$ and $i^*w = 0$ (i is called a *Lagrangian immersion*). A *Lagrangian fibration* of a symplectic manifold is a fibration where all fibers are Lagrangian submanifolds. Typical examples of Lagrangian fibrations are given by the natural projection of cotangent bundles on their corresponding manifolds. Given a Lagrangian submanifold L immersed by i in a Lagrangian fibration (which can locally be thought of as $\pi : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\pi(p, q) = q$ and $\omega = \sum dp_i \wedge dq_i$ is the standard symplectic structure), the composition of the immersion with the projection π to the base space of the fibration $(\pi \circ i)$ is called a *Lagrangian map*. The critical value set of a Lagrangian map is called its *caustic*. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of Lagrangian immersions.

A *Lagrangian equivalence* between two Lagrangian maps $g_1 = \pi_1 \circ i_1 : L_1 \hookrightarrow E_1 \twoheadrightarrow B_1$ and $g_2 = \pi_2 \circ i_2 : L_2 \hookrightarrow E_2 \twoheadrightarrow B_2$ is a symplectomorphism between E_1 and E_2 which transforms one immersion into the other and one Lagrangian fibration into the other, i.e. a diffeomorphism $\sigma : L_1 \to L_2$, a diffeomorphism $\tau : E_1 \to E_2$ which sends a symplectic structure to the other, and a diffeomorphism $\upsilon : B_1 \to B_2$, such that $\tau \circ i_1 = i_2 \circ \sigma$ and $\pi_2 \circ \tau = \upsilon \circ \pi_1$. Caustics of Lagrangian equivalent maps are diffeomorphic. A Lagrangian map f is said to be *Lagrangian stable* if for every map with the given germ there is a neighbourhood in the space of Lagrangian maps (in the topology of convergence with a finite number of derivatives on each compact set) and a neighbourhood of the point such that each Lagrangian map in the first neighbourhood has in the second neighbourhood a point at which its germ is Lagrangian equivalent to f. We will work in $\mathcal{L}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the projection to obtain the Lagrangian maps will be fixed.

Generating families: Each Lagrangian map is determined by a generating family which, from a local viewpoint, is described as follows. Consider the La-

grangian fibration $\pi : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with canonical coordinates $(p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n) = (p, q)$ for $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be an n-parameter unfolding of a function. We call

$$C(F) = \{(x, q) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x, q) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k\}$$

the *catastrophe set* and

$$B_F = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists (x, q) \in C(F) \text{ such that } rk(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x, q)) < k\}$$

the *bifurcation set*. It is easy to see that B_F is the critical value set of $\pi_n : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ restricted to $C(F)$ (usually called *catastrophe map* of F in the terminology of Catastrophe Theory). Now, we say that F is a *Morse familiy* of functions if the germ of $\triangle F = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}) : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ at the origin is non-singular. In this case, $C(F)$ is a smooth submanifold and we have a map $L(F) : C(F) \to T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, $L(F)(x, q) = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_n}, q)$ which turns out to be a Lagrangian immersion.

Furthermore, it is proved in [5] (page 300) that all Lagrangian submanifolds of $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ (and therefore Lagrangian maps) can be constructed locally in the above way. F is called a *generating familiy* of $L(F)$ and the critical value set of $\pi \circ L(F)$ is B_F, the *caustic*.

Two families of functions $F, G : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are said to be $\mathcal{P}$-$\mathcal{R}^+$-*equivalent* if there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $\Phi(x, u) = (\Phi_1(x, u), \phi(u))$ and a function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $G(x, u) = F(\Phi(x, u)) + h(u)$. Moreover, F and G are *stably* $\mathcal{P}$-$\mathcal{R}^+$-*equivalent* if when adding new variables y_i to the x_i and nondegenerate quadratic forms in the new variables Q_1 to F and Q_2 to G we have that $F + Q_1$ is $\mathcal{P}$-$\mathcal{R}^+$-equivalent to $G + Q_2$.

Now we can state the following result ([5], pages 304 and 325) that says that given F and G Morse families, we have that:

1) The Lagrangian maps $\pi \circ L(F)$ and $\pi \circ L(G)$ are Lagrangian equivalent if and only if F and G are stably $\mathcal{P}$-$\mathcal{R}^+$-equivalent.

2) $\pi \circ L(F)$ is Lagrangian stable if and only if F is an $\mathcal{R}^+$-versal deformation of F restricted to $\mathbb{R}^k \times 0$.

This means that the classification of Lagrangian germ singularities is equivalent to that of smooth families of function germs. So from classical results (Thom's seven elementary catastrophes [6]) one has that the only generic singularities of Lagrangian maps (i.e. the singularities that a generic caustic will present) from a 2-dimensional Lagrangian manifold to $\mathbb{R}^2$ are:

a) fold points, whose generating family can be written as $F(x, q) = \pm x^3 + q_1 x$ and is denoted by A_2,

b) cusp points, whose generating family can be written as $F(x,q) = \pm x^4 + q_1 x^2 + q_2 x$ and is denoted by A_3.

The two folds ($\pm$ in A_2) are Lagrangian equivalent but the two cusps ($\pm$ in A_3) are not, we will therefore talk of two types of Lagrangian cusps: A_3^+ and A_3^-, the first one corresponding to a local minimum and the second one to a local maximum for the function $F_q,\ q = (q_1, q_2)$. On the other hand, the caustic can also have transverse self-intersections of fold curves, denoted as A_2^2. These singularities are the same that you find in a stable C^∞ map from $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Clearly, any $\mathcal{A}$-invariants of the singular set (as a curve in the Lagrangian surface) or of the caustic (as a curve in the plane) will also be Lagrange equivalence-invariants.

2.1 1-parameter families of caustics

We have seen what singularities can appear in stable caustics, however there are certain non stable singularities which can appear in generic 1-parameter families. Theses were studied by Zakalyukin in [19]. He considered 1-parameter families of temporary caustics as a big-caustic in space-time (the direct product of the space where the temporary caustics occur times the time axis t). The temporary Lagrangian map at the time t is given by a generating family $F_t(x,q)$ with parameter $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The same family of functions on x seen as a family with $n+1$ parameters (q,t) gives a Lagrangian map with a big-caustic in space-time. He studied diagrams

$$\Delta \xrightarrow{\ i\ } \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\ t\ } \mathbb{R}$$

where i is the inclusion of an n-dimensional caustic and t is a submersion called the time function. An equivalence of diagrams is a commutative diagram where the horizontals are diagrams as above and the verticals are diffeomorphisms. Equivalently, we can consider diagrams

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\ f\ } \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\ t\ } \mathbb{R}$$

where the diffeomorphisms preserve the caustic.

In Arnol'd's "Catastrophe Theory" ([4]) diagrams of 1-parameter families of 1-dimensional caustics appeared for first time. He showed them as sections of big-caustics in space-time. Therefore, in 1-parameter families we might find topologically different sections of the same phenomena in space-time corresponding to different time functions, and these do not correspond to ordinary catastrophes. To the list of corank 1 monogerms and multigerms given by singularities of mappings from $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$ we have to add the corank 2 monogerms. We get these as sections of the hyperbolic and elliptic umbilics (the pyramid and the purse), which are generic 2-dimensional caustics. The complete list is displayed in Figure 1.



Figure 1: 1-parameter families of 1-dimensional caustics.

From here on we will fix an orientation on the plane. Following the notation of Lagrangian singularities we denote the lips and beaks phenomena by ${}^1A_3^l$ and ${}^1A_3^b$, where l stands for lips and b for beaks. We must consider too the different cases where the cusps created are either both of minimum type or both of maximum type, we therefore have the following four cases: ${}^1A_3^{l+}$, ${}^1A_3^{b+}$, ${}^1A_3^{l-}$ and ${}^1A_3^{b-}$.

Remark 2.1. *A caustic has a natural coorientation defined by the number of preimages being higher on one side of a fold curve than on the other. We fix an orientation for the caustic such that* $\{orientation, coorientation\}$ *matches the orientation*

of the plane. We note that for the cusp, the number of preimages is always higher on the "interior" of the cusp and so it is always oriented in the same way.

Next we have the swallowtail, which we will denote by A_4, here the two cusps have opposite signs, therefore, we have to distinguish between A_4^{+-} and A_4^{-+} depending on wether the first cusp is of minimum type or of maximum type. The section of the pyramid, i.e. the tricuspoid, is known as D_4^-, and the two different sections of the purse will be called D_4^{+a} and D_4^{+b} respectively. In both the D_4^+ bifurcations, the two cusps at the moment of the singularity have transversal limiting tangent directions. D_4^{+a} is subdivided into D_4^{+am} and D_4^{+aM} depending on wether the cusp in between the double points is of minimum type or of maximum type, and D_4^{+b} is divided into D_4^{+bm} and D_4^{+bM} depending on wether the cusp which lies to the right (considering the orientation of the cusp) of the double point is of minimum type or maximum type . The tangency of two fold curves will be denoted by T_{22}, the triple point by A_2^3 and the transversal conjunction of a fold and a cusp will be $A_2A_3^{\pm}$ with $+$ or $-$ depending on the sign of the cusp.

3 First order local invariants of Vassiliev type

Vassiliev invariants have been defined in a more general context, i.e. in any mapping space where the discriminant is of codimension one, but for our purposes in this chapter it will be enough to define them for spaces of Lagrangian immersions. Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold, and denote by $\mathcal{SL}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$ the subset of Lagrange stable immersions in $\mathcal{L}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$ (Lagrange immersions with generic caustics up to diffeomorphisms of the plane and the preimage surface). Two Lagrange immersions,

$$f, g : L \to T^*\mathbb{R}^2$$

are *Lagrange stably isotopic* if there is an isotopy, $F : L \times I \to T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $F_t : L \to T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ has generic caustics for all $t \in I$, $F_0 = f$ and $F_1 = g$. Equivalently: the immersions f and g are Lagrange stably isotopic if they lie in the same pathcomponent of $\mathcal{SL}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Given a unitary commutative ring R, a *Lagrange isotopy invariant with values in* R is a locally constant function $V : \mathcal{SL}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2) \to R$. This means that for any pair, (f, g) of Lagrange stably isotopic immersions, we have $V(f) = V(g)$.

Vassiliev's technique is based on the analysis of an appropriate stratification of the discriminant set, $\Delta = \mathcal{L}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2) - \mathcal{SL}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$ (immersions having non-generic caustics). In order to be able to apply such method, the subset Δ must have codimension 1 in $\mathcal{L}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the *first order invariants* are defined as follows. To each codimension 1 stratum one must assign a *coorientation*, which consists in giving a criterion to distinguish when a transversal path to the strata is crossing it in a positive or negative way. Next, to each stratum Y of codimension

1 in Δ a transition index ξ_Y is attached whose jump is 1 when it crosses the stratum Y and 0 when it crosses any other stratum. A linear combination of indices with coefficients in R defines a *Vassiliev order one cocycle* provided it satisfies the following *Compatibility condition:* the result of evaluating the cocycle over a generic closed path around any codimension two stratum must be zero. This yields relations amongst the coefficients. Moreover, in case that the space of considered maps is not simply connected, the result of evaluating the cocycle on any closed non trivial cycles is also zero. The set of all the compatibility conditions is known as the *coherent system*.

Order one Vassiliev invariants are obtained by integrating order one Vassiliev cocycles. In order to evaluate an invariant, associated to a given Vassiliev's order one cocycle, on a given map $f \in \mathcal{SL}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$:

a) Choose a distinguished map, f_0, on the same pathcomponent of $\mathcal{SL}_i(L, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$ than f and associate to it some value, say $0 \in R$.

b) Consider a generic path (in the sense that it is transversal to all the strata of Δ) joining f to f_0.

c) The value of the invariant over f is given by:

$$V(f) := \xi_V(\gamma) + V(f_0) = \Sigma_i n_{S_i} \xi_{S_i}(\gamma) + V(f_0)$$

where ξ_V is the order one cocycle which represents the invariant V, $\xi_{S_i}(\gamma)$ can be thought of as the intersection index $\gamma \cap S_i$ of the path γ with the stratum S_i and n_{S_i} can be thought of as the jump of the invariant V when crossing the stratum S_i.

These invariants are local in the sense that their jumps are determined by the diffeomorphism type of the local deformation of the caustic at the moment of crossing the discriminant.

Clearly, the compatibility condition guarantees that the result of this procedure does not depend on the chosen generic path.

3.1 Coorientation of codimension one strata

In order to apply Vassiliev's method to our situation we must give coorientations to the different codimension 1 strata, but before doing this, we shall distinguish among different pathcomponents of some of the strata considered above. To do this we shall take into account the local variations of preimages of the map in the complement of the caustic in each case. Whenever there is a curve of fold points in a multigerm, the number of preimages on either side of the curve jumps by 2, so we must distinguish between two situations depending on wether the number of local pre-images is higher on one side or the other. This substratification will not affect those phenomena where the subdivision of stratum due to the existence of minimum and maximum type cusps has already been done (such as the D_4 cases),

since the number of preimages is always higher in the interior of the cusp. However it does lead to a substratification of certain other strata, namely:

i) T_{22} has to be subdivided into 3 substrata depending on wether the number of pre-images in the newly created component of the complement of the caustic after the tangency is 0, 2 or 4. We will name them T_{22}^0, T_{22}^2 and T_{22}^4, respectively.

ii) A_2^3 will be divided in two situations depending on wether the number of preimages in the newly created component of the complement of the caustic before and after the triple point in a 1-parameter transition is 0 and 6 or 2 and 4: $A_2^{3\alpha}$ and $A_2^{3\beta}$ respectively.

iii) $A_2A_3^{\pm}$ will thus be subdivided into four: $A_2A_3^{\pm\alpha}$ and $A_2A_3^{\pm\beta}$.

So finally, after the substratification, we have 20 codimension 1 strata, which will be the variables of the coherent system.

As for the coorientations of the strata, those defined in [11] for the 1-parameter families are valid for our situation too. The criteria used to define them are such as increasing of the number of cusps, or increasing of the number of double points. In the case of a triple crossing, the criterion is slightly more subtle and depends on the direction in which the number of pre-images in the newly created component of the complement of the caustic increases. D_4^- changes from having 3 minimum type cusps to having 3 maximum type cusps, it is cooriented in the direction where the number of maximum type cusps grows. In the case of D_4^{+am} and D_4^{+aM}, we coorientate the stratum in the direction for which the number of double crossings increases. D_4^{+bm} and D_4^{+bM} are cooriented in the direction where the cusp appears after the double point considering the orientation of the cusp as in Remark 2.1.

3.2 2-parameter families of Lagrangian maps and their bifurcation diagrams

Any first order local invariant can be seen as a linear combination of indices, attached to the different codimension one strata. If we denote by ΔS the transition index of S, we have that an invariant I can be expressed by a cocycle as $\Delta I = \Sigma n_{S_i} \Delta S_i$, where $n_{S_i} \in R$ and the S_i are the codimension one strata.

The compatibility conditions force the existence of some relationships between the different n_{S_i} which we calculate in this section. For this purpose, we need to know the bifurcation diagrams associated to a 2-parameter versal deformation of every codimension two phenomenon.

In [11] Ohmoto and Aicardi obtained first order Vassiliev invariants for stable C^∞ maps between surfaces. They showed that any such invariant is a linear combination of I_d, the number of double points, I_ν, the number of cusps and I_ℓ, the



Figure 2: Codimension 2 bifurcations I to IX [11].

self-linking number of the Legendrian lift of the apparent contour to $ST^*\mathbb{R}^2$. For this they showed bifurcation diagrams of 2-parameter families of corank 1 maps from the plane to the plane (see Figures 2 and 3). We will show that they can be seen as 2-parameter families of planar caustics.

We want to consider time maps with two components.

Definition 3.1. *Let $t : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a submersion and $F(x, q)$ be a generating family. We say that F is a Morse family with respect to t if for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$, F restricted to the submanifold $\mathbb{R}^k \times t^{-1}(s)$ is a Morse family in variables x. This is equivalent to $C(F)$ being transverse to each $\mathbb{R}^k \times t^{-1}(s)$, i.e. $t \circ \pi_n : C(F) \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a submersion.*

Given a versal F, we want generic t so that F is Morse with respect to it. This can be obtained classifying submersions $t : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^2$ which preserve the big-caustic $C(F)$ under ${}_{C(F)}\mathcal{A}$-equivalence. This is a complicated problem and we will not try to solve it completely but we will give some examples which will suffice for the task

proposed in this paper. Some of the resulting bifurcation diagrams can be seen as 2-dimensional sections of big 3-dimensional caustics in 4-dimensional space-time or as 2-dimensional sections of 1-parameter families of 2-dimensional caustics in 3-space. However, some bifurcations are not so clearly recognised as sections of a big caustics in space-time.

We are considering space-time to be $\mathbb{R}^4$ so the big-caustic will be 3-dimensional. This means the number of parameters of the generating family is 4. If we take $F(x,q) = \bar{F}(x,\lambda) - \mu x$ where $q = (\mu,\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{4-k}$, the catastrophe manifold is the graph $\mu = \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial x}$ and so (x,λ) parameterize $C(F)$. The Lagrange map is seen as $(x,\lambda) \mapsto (\frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial x}, \lambda)$. We will use additional parameters τ_i when needed. Remember that the time map we will consider has the parameters as variables.

From [19] or [5] we have that the corank 1 monogerms of a big 3-dimensional caustics in 4-dimensional space-time are: A_5, ${}^2A_3$ and ${}^1A_4$. But we have to distinguish between A_5^+ and A_5^-, which are not Lagrange equivalent and between ${}^2A_3^+$ and ${}^2A_3^-$ depending on wether the lips and beaks which appear in its bifurcation diagram have minimum or maximum type cusps. There may be different types of 2-parameter families of 1-dimensional caustics for these big-caustics, but we will not need them here.

Lemma 3.2. *The diagrams in I, II and III in Figure 2 correspond to bifurcation diagrams of ${}^2A_3$, A_5 and ${}^1A_4$ respectively.*

Proof. For the ${}^2A_3$ case we consider the generating family for A_3: $x^4 + \lambda_1 x^2 - \mu_1 x$. The castastrophe manifold is given by $C(F) = \{(x,\mu_1,\lambda_1,\tau_1,\tau_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^4 : \mu_1 = 4x^3 + 2\lambda_1 x\}$. The Lagrangian map can be seen as

$$(x,\lambda_1,\tau_1,\tau_2) \mapsto (4x^3 + 2\lambda_1 x, \lambda_1, \tau_1, \tau_2).$$

We consider now the submersion $t = (t_1,t_2) = (\tau_1, \lambda_1 + \tau_2^3 + \tau_1\tau_2)$. It is easy to see that F is a Morse family with respect to t. The resulting 2-parameter family is

$$(x,\tau_2) \mapsto (4x^3 + 2(t_2 + \tau_2^3 - t_1\tau_2)x, \tau_2),$$

which corresponds to the generating family $F(x,\mu_1,\tau_2,t_1,t_2) = x^4 + (t_2 - \tau_2^3 - t_1\tau_2)x^2 - \mu_1 x$. The bifurcation diagram corresponds to I in [11].

For the ${}^1A_4$ case we consider the generating family for A_4: $x^5 + \lambda_1 x^3 + \lambda_2 x^2 - \mu_1 x$. The castastrophe manifold is given by $C(F) = \{(x,\mu_1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\tau_1) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^4 : \mu_1 = 5x^4 + 3\lambda_1 x^2 + 2\lambda_2 x\}$. The Lagrangian map can be seen as

$$(x,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\tau_1) \mapsto (5x^4 + 3\lambda_1 x^2 + 2\lambda_2 x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \tau_1).$$

We consider now the submersion $t = (t_1,t_2) = (\lambda_1 - \tau_1, \lambda_2 - \tau_1^2 - \tau_1\lambda_1)$. It is easy to see that F is a Morse family with respect to t. The resulting 2-parameter family is

$$(x,\tau_1) \mapsto (5x^4 + 3(\tau_1 + t_1)x^2 + 2(\tau_1^2 + t_1\tau_1 + t_2)x, \tau_1),$$

which corresponds to the generating family $F(x, \mu_1, \tau_1, t_1, t_2) = x^5 + (t_1 + \tau_1)x^3 + (t_2 + t_1\tau_1 + \tau_1^2)x^2 - \mu_1 x$. The bifurcation diagram corresponds to III in [11].

For the A_5 case we consider the generating family: $x^6+\lambda_1 x^4+\lambda_2 x^3+\lambda_3 x^2-\mu_1 x$. The castastrophe manifold is given by $C(F) = \{(x, \mu_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^4 : \mu_1 = 6x^5 + 4\lambda_1 x^3 + 3\lambda_2 x^2 + 2\lambda_3 x\}$. The Lagrangian map can be seen as

$$(x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \mapsto (6x^5 + 4\lambda_1 x^3 + 3\lambda_2 x^2 + 2\lambda_3 x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3).$$

We consider now the submersion $t = (t_1, t_2) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. It is easy to see that F is a Morse family with respect to t. The resulting 2-parameter family is

$$(x, \lambda_3) \mapsto (6x^5 + 4t_1 x^3 + 3t_2 x^2 + 2\lambda_3 x, \lambda_3).$$

The bifurcation diagram corresponds to II in [11]. □



Figure 3: Codimension 2 bifurcations X to XVIII [11].

For multigerms we must bear in mind that some of the phenomena have to be subdivided due to the distinction between cusps of minimum type (positive) and

cusps of maximum type (negative), and so the equations will be unfolded into several different equations involving the same strata but possibly different substrata. In the present notation, we have that the reinterpretation of the equations in [11], taking into account the different subdivisions, leads to the following, where the Roman number stands for the order of the bifurcation diagrams in [11]:

i) $n_{{}^1A_3^{l+}} = n_{{}^1A_3^{b+}}$ and $n_{{}^1A_3^{l-}} = n_{{}^1A_3^{b-}}$ which arise from ${}^2A_3^+$ and ${}^2A_3^-$ respectively.

ii) $n_{A_4+-} = n_{A_4-+}$ which arises from both A_5^+ and A_5^-.

iii) $n_{A_4^{+-}} + n_{A_4^{-+}} = n_{{}^1A_3^{b+}} + n_{{}^1A_3^{b-}} + n_{T_{22}^2}$ from ${}^1A_4$.

iv) $n_{A_2A_3^{+\alpha}} = n_{A_2A_3^{+\beta}}$ and $n_{A_2A_3^{-\alpha}} = n_{A_2A_3^{-\beta}}$ from ${}^1A_3^{b+}A_2$ and ${}^1A_3^{b-}A_2$ (transversal conjunction of positive and negative beaks with fold curves) respectively.

v) $n_{A_2A_3^{+\alpha}} = n_{A_2A_3^{+\beta}}$ and $n_{A_2A_3^{-\alpha}} = n_{A_2A_3^{-\beta}}$ from ${}^1A_3^{l+}A_2$ and ${}^1A_3^{l-}A_2$ (transversal conjunction of positive and negative lips with fold curves) respectively.

vi) $n_{A_2A_3^{-\alpha}} = n_{A_2A_3^{+\beta}} + n_{A_2^{3\beta}}$ from A_2A_4 (transversal intersection of a swallowtail with a fold curve).

vii) $n_{T_{22}^0} = n_{T_{22}^4}$ from dT_{22}^{α} (degenerate tangency between two fold curves).

viii) Trivial equation from dT_{22}^{β}.

ix) Trivial equations from $A_3^{2(++)}$ and $A_3^{2(--)}$ (transversal intersection of two cusps with three different subtypes depending on wether the cusps are both of minimum type, of maximum type or one of each type) and $n_{A_2A_3^{+\alpha}} + n_{A_2A_3^{+\beta}} = n_{A_2A_3^{-\alpha}} + n_{A_2A_3^{-\beta}}$ from $A_3^{2(+-)}$.

x) $n_{A_2A_3^{+\alpha}} + n_{A_2A_3^{+\beta}} = n_{T_{22}^0} + n_{T_{22}^2}$ and $n_{A_2A_3^{-\alpha}} + n_{A_2A_3^{-\beta}} = n_{T_{22}^4} + n_{T_{22}^2}$ from T_{23}^+ and T_{23}^- (tangency between a fold curve and a positive or negative cusp) respectively.

xi) Trivial equation from $A_2^{4\alpha}$ (quadruple point).

xii) Trivial equation from $A_2^{4\beta}$.

xiii) $n_{A_2^{3\beta}} = 0$ from $A_2T_{22}^0$ (transverse intersection of a fold curve with a tangency of fold curves).

xiv) $n_{A_2^{3\beta}} = n_{A_2^{3\alpha}}$ from $A_2T_{22}^2$.

xv) $n_{A_2^{3\beta}} = n_{A_2^{3\alpha}}$ from $A_2T_{22}^4$.

xvi) Trivial equations from $A_2^2A_3^{+\alpha}$ and $A_2^2A_3^{-\alpha}$ (a transverse intersection of two fold curves and a minimum or maximum type cusp).

xvii) Trivial equations from $A_2^2A_3^{+\beta}$ and $A_2^2A_3^{-\beta}$.

xviii) Trivial equations from $A_2^2A_3^{+\gamma}$ and $A_2^2A_3^{-\gamma}$.

Some of the phenomena in the previous list of multigerms have been substratified due to the number of preimages being higher on one side of a fold curve or the other as was done for the codimension 1 strata before coorienting them. The α, β and γ superscripts on dT_{22}, A_2^4 and $A_2^2A_3^{\pm}$ correspond to this substratification.

We now analyse some bifurcation diagrams of the corank 2 2-parameter families.

Lemma 3.3. *$n_{D_4^{+am}} + n_{D_4^{+bM}} = n_{T_{22}^2}$ and $n_{D_4^{+aM}} + n_{D_4^{+bm}} = n_{T_{22}^2}$.*



Figure 4: Bifurcation diagram of ${}^{1c}D_4^+$.

Proof. In Figure 4 we can see a 2-parameter bifurcation diagram with pictures of caustics for different values of the parameters. The codimension 1 strata are cooriented with a small segment on one side or the other of the stratum pointing to the direction of positive coorientation. The M or m appearing next to each cusp

correspond to the cusp being of maximal or minimum type. Changing M for m in the bifurcation diagram induces the same change in the corresponding equations, but coorientations remain the same.

We consider the generating family for $D_4^{\pm}$: $x_1^2x_2 \pm x_2^3 + \lambda_1 x_2^2 - \mu_1 x_1 - \mu_2 x_2$. Here $C(F) = \{(x_1, x_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda_1, \tau_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^4 : \mu_1 = 2x_1x_2, \mu_2 = x_1^2 \pm 3x_2^2 + 2\lambda_1 x_2\}$. The Lagrangian map is

$$(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \tau_1) \mapsto (2x_1x_2, x_1^2 \pm 3x_2^2 + 2\lambda_1 x_2, \lambda_1, \tau_1).$$

We consider the D_4^+ case and the time map $t = (t_1, t_2) = (\lambda_1 - 2\mu_1 - \mu_2 - \tau_1\mu_1 - \mu_1^2, \tau_1)$. It can be seen that F is a Morse family with respect to t. The resulting 2-parameter family is

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (2x_1x_2, x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + \frac{t_1 + 4x_1x_2 + x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 2t_2x_1x_2 + 4x_1^2x_2^2}{1 - 2x_2}x_2,$$

which corresponds to the generating family $F(x_1, x_1, \mu_1, \mu_2, t_1, t_2) = x_1^2x_2 + x_2^3 + (t_1 + 2\mu_1 + \mu_2 + t_2\mu_1 + \mu_1^2)x_2^2 - \mu_1x_1 - \mu_2x_2$. The bifurcation diagram is depicted in Figure 4 as ${}^{1c}D_4^+$ since it can be seen as lateral sections of the c-version of the 1-parameter family of 2-dimensional caustic ${}^1D_4^+$ as appears in [4] or in [5]. The relations we obtain are

$$n_{D_4^{+am}} + n_{D_4^{+bM}} = n_{T^2_{22}},$$
$$n_{D_4^{+aM}} + n_{D_4^{+bm}} = n_{T^2_{22}}.$$

□

This bifurcation can also be obtained as a plane section of the bifurcation of the odd-shaped sharksfin in Figure 7 of [17], where more details on D_4^+ bifurcation can be found. As we will see in Section 4 we have enough information to find 4 independent invariants, so we do not need to consider more D_4^+ bifurcations.

Lemma 3.4. *$n_{A_4^{+-}} + n_{A_4^{-+}} + n_{D_4^-} = n_{{}^1A_3^{b-}} + n_{{}^1A_3^{l-}} + n_{D_4^{+aM}}$ and $n_{A_4^{+-}} + n_{A_4^{-+}} - n_{D_4^-} = n_{{}^1A_3^{b+}} + n_{{}^1A_3^{l+}} + n_{D_4^{+am}}$.*

Proof. We consider the generating family for D_5: $x_1^2x_2 + x_2^4 + \lambda_1x_2^3 + \lambda_2x_2^2 - \mu_1x_1 - \mu_2x_2$. Here $C(F) = \{(x_1, x_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^4 : \mu_1 = 2x_1x_2, \mu_2 = x_1^2 + 4x_2^3 + 3\lambda_1x_2^2 + 2\lambda_2x_2\}$. The Lagrangian map is

$$(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mapsto (2x_1x_2, x_1^2 + 4x_2^3 + 3\lambda_1x_2^2 + 2\lambda_2x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

We consider the time map $t = (t_1, t_2) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 + \mu_1)$. It can be seen that F is a Morse family with respect to t. The resulting 2-parameter family is

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (2x_1x_2, x_1^2 + 4x_2^3 + 3t_1x_2^2 + 2(t_2 - 2x_1x_2)x_2),$$



Figure 5: Bifurcation diagram of D_5.

which corresponds to the generating family $F(x_1, x_1, \mu_1, \mu_2, t_1, t_2) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^4 + t_1 x_2^3 + (t_2 - \mu_1)x_2^2 - \mu_1 x_1 - \mu_2 x_2$. The bifurcation diagram is depicted in Figure 5 and it can be seen as frontal sections of the 1-parameter family of 2-dimensional caustic D_5 as appears in [4] or in [5]. Since $n_{A_2A_3^{-\alpha}} = n_{A_2A_3^{+\beta}} = n_{A_2A_3^{+\alpha}}$ we make no distinction between these substrata. A similar bifurcation has already been studied in other contexts, for example in [6], where we must observe that some of the multigerms have not been considered. The version we obtain is more generic than that of [6] in the sense that the two A_4 transitions do not occur at the same time. The relations we obtain are

$$n_{A_4^{+-}} + n_{A_4^{-+}} + n_{D_4^-} = n_{\,1A_3^{b-}} + n_{\,1A_3^{l-}} + n_{D_4^{+aM}},$$

$$n_{A_4^{+-}} + n_{A_4^{-+}} - n_{D_4^-} = n_{\,{}_1A_3^{b+}} + n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l+}} + n_{D_4^{+am}}.$$

□

This bifurcation first appeared in [12] and has also been found in [18], where the authors prove that there are no other topologically different 2-parameter D_5 bifurcations. This fact is very surprising.

3.3 Possible non-contractible paths

By joining some local pictures of Arnold's 1-parameter transitions of 2-dimensional wavefronts in 3-space we can produce a 1-parameter family of global wavefronts in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the projection to $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the singular locus of the wavefronts is diffeomorphic to the caustics in Figure 6. This is an eversion of a spherical wavefront, i.e. we start with a cuspidal flying saucer, we undergo the birth of two swallowtail points, then two D_4 wavefront transitions and the death of two swallowtail points. We obtain a 1-parameter family of Legendre immersions $S^2 \to J^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) = T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ which induces a one parameter family of exact Lagrangian immersions $i_t : S^2 \to T^*\mathbb{R}^2$, $t \in [0, 1]$, by the natural projection $T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to T^*\mathbb{R}^2$. This is not a closed path in $\mathcal{L}_i(S^2, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$ (the space of Lagrangian immersions) since $i_1 = i_0 \circ \phi$ where $\phi : S^2 \to S^2$ is an involution. If we compose the path i_t with $i_t \circ \phi$ we obtain a closed path.

This path could correspond to a closed path around a codimension 2 singularity but we conjecture that the cocyle associated to this path defines a non-trivial 1st cohomology class of $\mathcal{L}_i(S^2, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$ and therefore, the path is non-contractible. This is not surprising. For instance, in the case of Legendrian 1-dimensional fronts ([1]) Aicardi has to study the compatibility conditions arising from one non contractible path in each connected component (a note on the topology of the space of Legendrian immersions can be found in [3]). In any case, we must consider the compatibility condition arisen from this path too (see Figure 6).

$$2n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l+}} - 2n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l-}} + 4n_{D_4^{+bM}} = 0.$$

Similarly, we can obtain another path of caustics (see Figure 7).

The compatibility condition arisen from this path is:

$$2n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l+}} - 2n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l-}} + 4n_{D_4^{+aM}} - 4n_{T_{22}^2} = 0.$$

3.4 Coherent system and invariants

So finally, we arrive to the following coherent system:

i) $n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l+}} = n_{\,{}_1A_3^{b+}}$



Figure 6: Caustics of path in $\mathcal{L}_i(S^2, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$. This path firs appeared in [12].



Figure 7: Caustics of path in $\mathcal{L}_i(S^2, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$.

ii) $n_{\,^1A_3^{l-}} = n_{\,^1A_3^{b-}}$

iii) $n_{A_2A_3^{-\alpha}} = n_{A_2A_3^{+\beta}} = n_{A_2A_3^{+\alpha}} = n_{A_2A_3^{-\beta}}$

iv) $n_{A_2^{3\beta}} = n_{A_2^{3\alpha}} = 0$

v) $n_{T_{22}^0} = n_{T_{22}^4}$

vi) $n_{A_4^{+-}} + n_{A_4^{-+}} = n_{\,^1A_3^{b+}} + n_{\,^1A_3^{b-}} + n_{T_{22}^2}$

vii) $n_{A_2A_3^{+\alpha}} + n_{A_2A_3^{+\beta}} = n_{T_{22}^0} + n_{T_{22}^2}$

viii) $n_{D_4^{+am}} + n_{D_4^{+bM}} = n_{T_{22}^2}$

ix) $n_{D_4^{+aM}} + n_{D_4^{+bm}} = n_{T_{22}^2}$

x) $n_{A_4^{+-}} = n_{A_4^{-+}}$

xi) $n_{A_4^{+-}} + n_{A_4^{-+}} + n_{D_4^-} = n_{\,^1A_3^{b-}} + n_{\,^1A_3^{l-}} + n_{D_4^{+aM}}$

xii) $n_{A_4^{+-}} + n_{A_4^{-+}} - n_{D_4^-} = n_{\,{}_1A_3^{b+}} + n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l+}} + n_{D_4^{+am}}$

xiii) $2n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l+}} - 2n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l-}} + 4n_{D_4^{+bM}} = 0$

xiv) $2n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l+}} - 2n_{\,{}_1A_3^{l-}} + 4n_{D_4^{+aM}} - 4n_{T_{22}^2} = 0$

By substituting these relations into $\Delta I = \Sigma n_{S_i} \Delta S_i$, we arrive to the following result:

Theorem 3.5. *Any one-cocycle, i.e. any rational-valued function I satisfying $\Delta I = 0$ on every generic homotopically trivial closed path in $\mathcal{L}_i(S^2, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$, where S^2 is a 2-dimensional Lagrangian sphere, can be written, up to an additive constant, as a linear combination of the following 4 generators:*

$$\Delta I_1 = \Delta\, {}^1A_3^{l-} + \Delta\, {}^1A_3^{b-} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta A_4^{+-} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta A_4^{-+} + \tfrac{3}{2}\Delta D_4^- + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta D_4^{+aM} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta D_4^{+am} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta D_4^{+bm} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta D_4^{+bM},$$

$$\Delta I_2 = \Delta\, {}^1A_3^{l+} + \Delta\, {}^1A_3^{b+} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta A_4^{+-} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta A_4^{-+} - \tfrac{3}{2}\Delta D_4^- - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta D_4^{+aM} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta D_4^{+am} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta D_4^{+bm} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta D_4^{+bM},$$

$$\Delta I_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta A_2A_3 + \Delta T_{22}^0 + \Delta T_{22}^4,$$

$$\Delta I_4 = \Delta D_4^{+am} + \Delta D_4^{+aM} + \Delta T_{22}^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta A_4^{+-} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta A_4^{-+} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta A_2A_3,$$

where $\Delta A_2A_3 = \Delta A_2A_3^{+\alpha} + \Delta A_2A_3^{-\alpha} + \Delta A_2A_3^{+\beta} + \Delta A_2A_3^{-\beta}$.

4 Geometrical interpretation of the generators

From the viewpoint of calculations it is convenient to give an interpretation to these invariants which allows us to obtain the value of an invariant in a given stable map f directly from the topological/geometrical properties of f, without the need of finding a generic path joining it with a distinguished map f_0.

Theorem 4.1. *The space of first order local invariant of $\mathcal{SL}_i(S^2, T^*\mathbb{R}^2)$, where S^2 is a 2-dimensional Lagrangian sphere, has rank 4. The generators can be interpreted as:*

i) I_d, the number of double crossings, whose jump in terms of the generators is

$$\Delta I_d = 2\Delta I_3 + 2\Delta I_4 = \Delta A_4^{+-} + \Delta A_4^{-+} + 2\Delta D_4^{+aM} + 2\Delta D_4^{+am} + 2\Delta T_{22}^2 + 2\Delta T_{22}^0 + 2\Delta T_{22}^4 + 2\Delta A_2A_3$$

ii) I_{C_m}, *the number of minimum type cusps, whose jump in terms of the generators is*

$$\Delta I_{C_m} = 2\Delta I_2 = 2\Delta\, {}^1A_3^{l+} + 2\Delta\, {}^1A_3^{b+} + \Delta A_4^{+-} + \Delta A_4^{-+} + \Delta D_4^{+am} - \Delta D_4^{+aM}$$
$$+\Delta D_4^{+bm} - \Delta D_4^{+bM} - 3\Delta D_4^{-}$$

iii) I_{C_M}, *the number of maximum type cusps, whose jump in terms of the generators is*

$$\Delta I_{C_M} = 2\Delta I_1 = 2\Delta\, {}^1A_3^{l-} + 2\Delta\, {}^1A_3^{b-} + \Delta A_4^{+-} + \Delta A_4^{-+} - \Delta D_4^{+am} + \Delta D_4^{+aM}$$
$$-\Delta D_4^{+bm} + \Delta D_4^{+bM} + 3\Delta D_4^{-}$$

iv) I_ℓ, *one half of the Bennequin invariant of the Legendrian lift of the caustic to* $PT^*\mathbb{R}^2$, *whose jump in terms of the generators is*

$$\Delta I_\ell = \Delta I_1 + \Delta I_2 + 2\Delta I_3 - 2\Delta I_4 = \Delta\, {}^1A_3^{l+} + \Delta\, {}^1A_3^{b+} + \Delta\, {}^1A_3^{l-} + \Delta\, {}^1A_3^{b-}$$
$$-2\Delta D_4^{+aM} - 2\Delta D_4^{+am} - 2\Delta T_{22}^2 + 2\Delta T_{22}^0 + 2\Delta T_{22}^4$$

Proof. The proof for the first three expressions is a matter of direct observation as the strata involved in $3I_2$ and $2I_1 + 3I_2$ are the only codimension 1 strata which create minimum type cusps and maximum type cusps respectively, and those involved in I_3 and I_4 are the only ones that create new double points. In order to prove that the fourth expression represents what is announced we only need to justify that the increment of one half of the Bennequin invariant when crossing the transition corresponding to D_4^{+a} in the positive direction of its coorientation is equal to -2, since the rest of transitions were proved by Ohmoto and Aicardi in [11] (the fact of the cusp being of maximum or minimum type does not affect the Bennequin invariant as will be seen in the definition given below and so the increment when crossing a D_4^{+b} strata is 0 since the local pictures before and after the transition are diffeomorphic). □

4.1 The Bennequin invariant

We must consider the oriented plane $\{x, y\}$ in order to define this invariant. Let us associate to each point of a certain caustic Φ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ the unoriented straight line orthogonal to its tangent vector at that point. Let θ be the angle between the line and the x-axis. We therefore get a lift $\mathcal{F}$ of the caustic in $PT^*\mathbb{R}^2$ (oriented by the frame $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\}$) called the Legendrian link (there may be more than one component in the caustic $\Phi = \bigcup \Phi_i$ and therefore in its lift $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup \mathcal{F}_i$). The orientation of the lift is the one induced by the orientation of the caustic. By considering the double cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of the link in $ST^*\mathbb{R}^2$, if $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\epsilon$ is a shift of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ along the positive

normal direction to the contact plane, we can define the Bennequin invariant of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ as the linking number of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\epsilon$. However, Ohmoto and Aicardi provide a way to calculate this number in terms of a projection to $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the Legendrian link in $PT^*\mathbb{R}^2$, and this will be the way in which computations will be done here.

It is proved that the Bennequin invariant is equal to $2(\sum_i B_i + \sum_{i<j} L_{i,j})$ where B_i is equal to the self-linking number of F_i computed in terms of self intersections of the projection of F_i to $\mathbb{R}^2$ and one half of self intersections of the projection of the border of a certain strip constructed around the lift ([11]) and $L_{i,j}$ is the linking number of F_i and F_j. We will define this linking number as:

$$L_{i,j} = \sum_k \sigma(r_k^{ij})$$

where k runs over all double points r_k^{ij} of a generic projection to the plane of F_i and F_j where one branch of each is involved and σ gives a sign ± 1 to each double point. The criteria for this sign is the following: if z_a and z_b are the preimages under the projection of the double point, and t_a and t_b are the unit tangent vectors at these points, then the sign of a double point is equal to the sign of $t_a \wedge t_b \cdot (z_a - z_b)$, which generically will be non-zero.

It should be noted that $PT^*\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}P^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Legendrian fibered space over $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathbb{R}P^1$ fibers, this can therefore be seen as a solid torus ($PT^*\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq [0,\pi] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with identification of the boundary).



Figure 8: Lift of D_4^{+a} before and after the transition.

Proposition 4.2. *I_ℓ corresponds to one half of the Bennequin invariant of the Legendrian lift of the caustic to $PT^*\mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proof. In Figure 8 we can see the lift of the stabilization of D_4^{+a} before and after the transition. Before the transition there are two double points in the projection, both with negative sign. After the transition there are four double points with negative sign too. The self linking number is $\frac{1}{2}2$ both before and after the transition. This is due to the fact that there is an inflection point in the generic projection of the lift of the smooth branch ([2]) and a cusp ([11]) both of which create a self intersection of the projection of the strip constructed around the lift. So we have that the variation of one half of the Bennequin invariant when crossing the transition in the way of positive coorientation is $(-4+\frac{1}{2}2)-(-2+\frac{1}{2}2)=-2$, which justifies our interpretation. □

5 The orientable case

Aicardi and Ohmoto also studied the case when the domain surface is oriented. In such case they distinguished between positive and negative cusps too and so I_ν unfolded into two different invariants: the number of positive cusps and negative cusps. In their case the positive and negative labels attached to the cusps corresponded to the local degree of the map.

If we consider Lagrangian maps from an oriented sphere to the oriented plane. In such case, it is easy to see that the invariants I_{C_m} and I_{C_M} must be unfolded into 4 different new invariants, namely:

$I^+_{C_M}$ = number of positive cusps of maximum type.

$I^-_{C_M}$ = number of negative cusps of maximum type.

$I^+_{C_m}$ = number of positive cusps of minimum type.

$I^-_{C_m}$ = number of positive cusps of minimum type.

6 Non-local first order invariant

We observe that the only codimension 1 strata affecting the total number of path-components of the caustic curve for Lagrangian maps from a closed surface to the plane are the lips (${}^1A_3^\ell$) and the beaks (${}^1A_3^b$). In particular, the last one can be subdivided into two disjoint strata, according to whether the new-born cusps belong to the same component of the caustic or not (or in other words, the total number of curves in the caustic decreases, or increases when traversing the stratum in the

positive sense of the co-orientation); we denote them respectively by ${}^1A_3^{b^1}$ and ${}^1A_3^{b^2}$. Then the coherence system must be accordingly modified, and it is not difficult to see, by similar arguments to those in [10] or [11], that we get a new independent invariant, corresponding to the jump

$$\Delta I_\mu = \Delta^1 A_3^\ell - \Delta^1 A_3^{b^1} + \Delta^1 A_3^{b^2}.$$

This corresponds precisely to the jump in the number of pathcomponents of the caustic. Clearly, this invariant cannot be obtained from just the local information provided by the transitions.

References

[1] F. Aicardi *Discriminants and local invariants of planar fronts*. The Arnold-Gelfand mathematical seminars, 1–76, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997.

[2] F. Aicardi *Self-linking of space curves without inflections and its applications*. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 34 (2000), no. 2, 1–8, 95; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 34 (2000), no. 2, 79–85.

[3] V. I. Arnol´d *Topological Invariants of Plane Curves and Caustics*. University lecture series, Vol. 5, AMS Providence (1994).

[4] V. I. Arnol´d *Catastrophe theory*. Second edition. Translated from the Russian by G. S. Wassermann. Based on a translation by R. K. Thomas. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. xiv+108 pp. ISBN: 3-540-16199-6

[5] V. I. Arnol´d, S. M. Gusein-Zade and A. N. Varchenko *Singularities of differentiable maps. Vol. I. The classification of critical points, caustics and wave fronts*. Translated from the Russian by Ian Porteous and Mark Reynolds. Monographs in Mathematics, 82. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985. xi+382 pp. ISBN: 0-8176-3187-9

[6] Th. Bröcker *Differentiable germs and catastrophes*. Translated from the German, last chapter and bibliography by L. Lander. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, No. 17. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1975. vi+179 pp.

[7] C. Casonatto, M. C. Romero Fuster and R. G. Wik Atique, *First order local invariants of immersions from 3-manifolds to* $\mathbb{R}^4$, Topology and its Applications **150** (2012), 420–429.

[8] V. Goryunov *Local invariants of mappings of surfaces into three space*. The Arnol´d-Gelfand mathematical seminars 223-225. Birkhauser, Boston, (1997).

[9] V. Goryunov *Local invariants of maps between 3-manifolds*. J. Topol. 6 (2013), no. 3, 757–776.

[10] D. Hacon, C. Mendes de Jesus and M.C. Romero Fuster, *Topological invariants of stable maps from a surface to the plane from a global viewpoint.* Real and complex singularities, 227–235, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 232, Dekker, New York, 2003.

[11] T. Ohmoto and F. Aicardi *First order local invariants of apparent contours*. Topology 45 (2006), no. 1, 27–45.

[12] R. Oset Sinha *Topological invariants of stable maps from 3-manifolds to three space*. PhD Thesis, Universitat de València (2009).

[13] R. Oset Sinha and M. C. Romero Fuster *First order local invariants for stable maps from 3-manifolds to* $\mathbb{R}^3$. Michigan Math. J. Volume 61, Issue 2 (2012), 385–414.

[14] V. Vassiliev *Complements of discriminants of smooth maps: topology and applications*. AMS, Providence (1992).

[15] V. Vassiliev *Cohomology of knot spaces*. Advances in Soviet Math. 1 23-69 (1990).

[16] M. Yamamoto *First order semi-local invariants of stable maps of 3-manifolds into the plane*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 92 (2006), no. 2, 471–504.

[17] T. Yoshida, Y. Kabata and T. Ohmoto *Bifurcation of plane-to-plane map germs of corank 2*. Q. J. Math. (2015) 66 (1): 369–391 doi:10.1093/qmath/hau013

[18] T. Yoshida, Y. Kabata and T. Ohmoto *Bifurcation of plane-to-plane map germs with corank 2 of parabolic type*. Preprint.

[19] V. M. Zakalyukin *Reconstructions of fronts and caustics depending on a parameter, and versality of mappings*. J. Soviet Math. 27 (1983) 2713-2735.